## *World Habitat Award 2001 Winner* Fukasawa Symbiotic Housing Complex

A Model Project of Rebuilding Public Housing Complex in Tokyo, Japan for "the Sustainable Future"

by

Kazuo Iwamura



### Table of Contents

0. INTRODUCTION	1
0.1 The Background	2
0.2 Movement of the Environmentally Symbiotic Housing	3
0.3 Cyclical Design Process of Symbiotic Housing	4
1. Pre-Design	5
1.1 Analysis on the Site's Regional Contexts	6
1.2 Original Situation of the Site	7
1.3 Priority of the Rebuilding and the Concept	8
1.4 Social Aspects	10
2. Design	13
2.1 Site Plan	14
2.2 Biotope Garden	16
2.3 Layout of Apartments and Related Facilities	18
2.4 More Details of Apartment	20
2.5 Environmentally Symbiotic Building Elements	28
2.6 Post Occupancy Operation and Administration	30
3. Post-Design	31
4. Appendix	35
4.1 Architectural Summa <del>ry</del>	36
4.2 Financial Aspects	37
4.3 Acknowledgement	. 38
4.4 Short Biography of the author	38



# **0. INTRODUCTION**

### **Fukasawa Symbiotic Housing Complex**<sup>1</sup>

A Model Project of Rebuilding Public Housing Complex, in Tokyo, JAPAN for the Sustainable Future

Prof. Kazuo Iwamura<sup>2</sup>, Architect UIA/JIA, Tokyo, Japan

### 0.1 The Background

The Fukasawa Symbiotic Housing Complex rebuilding project was begun in December 1992, and was completed in March 1997. On a site of 7,388m, a complex of five apartment buildings, accommodating lease hold 70 dwelling units in all, replaced 39 municipally owned wooden detached houses. Built 1952 in Fukasawa, a residential district of Tokyo's Setagaya Ward, theses houses were part of the post-war housing supply drive, constructed and administered by the Tokyo Metropolitan Government. Forty years later, the administration of the site was passed to the Setagaya Ward, and the dilapidated houses were to be replaced with a ward-built, ward-owned housing complex.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The client: Setagaya Ward, Tokyo, The architects: Ichiura Planning & Housing Consultants / IWAMURA Atelier Joint venture

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Faculty of Urban Life Studies, Tokyo City University

### 0.2 Movement of the Environmentally Symbiotic Housing

At around the same time, both the national and Ward administration were beginning to set their sights upon ecologically friendly urban planning. In 1990, a group of public and private-sector professionals joined forces under the banner of "Environmentally Symbiotic Housing (**"Symbiotic Housing"** hereinafter)", first functioning as a research body then as an organization for Symbiotic Housing promotion and realization (Since the very beginning, the author has been playing a major role as a technical consultant). Aided by a national and prefectural subsidiary scheme, this environmentally conscious approach to housing has been so far applied to over 70 projects throughout Japan.

In1992, the Ministry of Construction published housing guidelines based upon its R&D concerning the global environment, and the Setagaya Ward established its "Technical Design Guidelines for Environmentally Conscious Building". In the same year, the Fukasawa rebuilding project was designated an "Environmentally Symbiotic Model Housing Complex". As such, its goals are A) to help preserve the global environment, B) to exist in harmony with the local environment, and C) to provide a healthy residential environment with amenity.

### Environmentally Symbiotic Housing

refers not just housing itself, but to the surrounding local environment. It is developed from the stand point of preserving the global environment by conserving energy and resources, while reducing waste at the same time. It's goal is to exist in harmony with both natural and man-made surroundings, as well as to provide residents with amenity-rich health life, thus encouraging them to participate in constriction process, and then taking care of the environment.

The following four themes(1-4) correspond to the three basic objectives(A-C) that must be realized to achieve Environmentally Symbiotic Housing :

### A. Global Issue: Global Environmental Protection (Low Impact)

1. Energy Saving

2.More Effective Use of Natural Resources

- B. Local Issue: Harmony with the Surrounding Environment (High Contact) 3.Compatibility and Harmony with the Local Environment
- C. Residential Issue: A Healthy Residential Environment with Amenity (Health & Amenity) 4.Health and Amenity – Be Safe and Feel Safe

### 0.3 Cyclical Design Process of Symbiotic Housing

This model project has been implemented according to the cyclical design process of symbiotic housing, as shown below;



# **1.PRE-DESIGN**



### 1.1 Analysis on the Site's Regional Contexts ( • : The Site)

How storm water and ground water flow.



How to network the regional greenery



How seasonal prevailing winds blow.



How to network the ecological habitats

At the beginning of the Pre-Design Process, efforts were made in analyzing the regional context of the site to discover its potential resources and requirements. The above four figures represent most basic aspects for architectural and landscaping design; WATER, GREEN, WIND and LIFE, besides the ritual climatic conditions. According to such analysis, we came up with conclusions as follows:

### 1) Design form and layout of buildings not to disturb the water flows

- 2) Preserve the existing trees to keep and strengthen the regional green network
- 3) Design form and layout of buildings conforming to the seasonal winds
- 4) Design the landscape to embrace and network the regional ecological habitats

### 1.2 Original Situation of the Site

The site itself, though located in a highly urbanized area, flourished with trees, grasses and flowers, the rich greenery providing an agreeable home for the residents, as well as for diverse birds and insects. The original wooden houses were widely spaced, with unpaved path and garden in between them. This urban oasis had been carefully maintained by the longtime residents. They formed a self- regulating cleaning rotation, shared gardening duties, and planted a kitchen garden in the site's fertile soil. These residents had lived as neighbors for more than forty years, creating a warm, close-knit community. Both the greenery of the site, and the experiences and history of these now elderly residents were central considerations in the rebuilding process. The original residents participated actively in the planning stages. Most of them have returned to live in the new apartments, where they take leading roles in forming the new community of 70 households.



Fig.2-1 Very matured condition of former houses before the rebuilding (as of 1993)





Fig.2-2 Lifestyle of the residents reveals key aspects of rebuilding

### 1.3 Priority of the Rebuilding and the Concept

In the rebuilding, it was of course necessary to raise the standards of living on the site, and to guarantee an increase of its accommodation capacity. We envisioned, too, however, a Symbiotic Housing Complex that would enable the coming generations to inherit the rich environment of the Fukasawa site; one that would interact with and influence its surroundings. When the original buildings were demolished, reusable components were kept for the new. The trees earth, wells, and other treasures of the site were left untouched as much as possible. When it was necessary to remove the trees, they were preserved elsewhere during construction and replanted later. Soil displacement was kept to a minimum, with any excess soil reused elsewhere within the site. The buildings are situated so as not to disturb the underground water-flow, and water-permeable paving and rainwater systems return rainwater into the ground. Most of the flat roof surface is covered with greenery, and the two wind-powered generators circulate water around a brook and small pond.



Fig.3-1 Preserved trees, wells and fertile soil. as elements of memory, to be reused on site



Fig.3-2 Timbers of the demolished houses, reused as landscape elements of memory



Fig.3-3 Roof tiles of the demolished houses, reborn as gardening design elements

Health and comfort were top priorities in the rebuilding. The new apartments are built with close considerations of sunlight and the winds, and incorporate various passive lighting, heating, and cooling methods. Each unit opens to at least two, often three or four directions, and the form of the complex itself was determined by the local wind patterns. The use of open alleys and light/wind voids are based on the traditional plan of the Japanese villages, enabling natural ventilation and aeration. The building materials, finishing materials, and facilities of the apartments were selected for their low impact upon the health of the residents and of the environment. Solar collectors provide warm water for the floor-heating system of the Ward's Day Home Center. Solar cells also power the outdoor lamps and a public clock.

These and other features have helped make the Fukasawa housing complex into a local focus for environmental education and awareness. Its footpaths are used by members of the neighborhood, who enjoy the site's greenery and the comfortable micro-climate. The ground floor of one of the apartments accommodates a day care service center to the elderly of the district.

As a whole, all the stakeholders came up with the concept to be shared as follows;



### "Re-creation of the Fukasawa Biotope Garden"

Fig.4 Holistic image of the Fukasawa Symbiotic Housing Complex as "Biotope Garden"

### **1.4 Social Aspects**

The apartments themselves were planed to integrate a social mix, certain units custom-built for wheelchair users and others for single elderly residents. One unit is reserved for a "life support advisor" employed by the Ward to care for her elderly neighbors.

In the aftermath of the 1995 Great Hanshin Earthquake, the importance of this kind of daily cooperation and communication among neighbors was made very clear. This awareness has helped to shape the Fukasawa project. Spacious meeting rooms were incorporated into the plan, as were areas where children could play safely close to home.

As the Housing Complex approaches its fifth year, it has been developed into a close-knits community unusual in Tokyo's newer residential district. The Resident's Committee is active, its regular meetings providing a forum for discussion and problem-solving. The committee also organized cleaning, recycling, and gardening rotas, with a monthly Sunday morning being set aside for a communal cleaning effort. Much of the resident's involvement with each other is based upon their pride and enjoyment of their home's Environmentally Symbiotic aspects. Due to their involvement in the planning and running of the complex, there is a high level of environmental awareness among the residents.



Fig.5-1 Residents' meeting during the design process



Fig.5-2 Tea break during a communal cleaning







20 households of former residents were core members of the rebuilding project during the whole process. Frequent hearings, group interviews and meetings were held to learn from them and to discover the local resources.

Fig.6-3 Informal communication between residents and the author (right)



Fig.6-2 Eventual harvest in the former vegetable garden





Fig.7 A beautiful memory of old Fukasawa Housing Complex, painted by a former resident



### 2.1 Site Plan



Fig.8-1 Complete Site plan



14



### 2.2 Biotope Garden



Fig. 10-1 Landscape design of the Biotope Garden at the heart of complex





Fig.11-1 "Biotope Garden" shortly after the completion (April 1997)

The Biotope Garden is protected topographcally and accomodates a variety of plants, birds and insects. The water from the preserved well is circulated by the windcharger behind, when wind blows.



Fig.11-2 "Biotope Garden", producing cool air in mid-summer within the complex





ビオトーブの表面温度(1998年8月10日15:00ごろ)。外気退32.2℃、水平面全日射量450W/m<sup>2</sup>、面の 風2.0m/w、表面温度が30℃以下の部分も見られる

### 2.3 Layout of Apartments and Related Facilities



F Fig.12 Layout plan of apartments at the ground level

### LEGEND

**3DK72**: <u>3</u>Bed Rooms + <u>D</u>ining & Living + <u>K</u>itchen = <u>72</u> m<sup>2</sup> (Net Floor Area) **P**: Car Parking



Fig.13 Layout Plan of apartments at the upper floor



Fig.14-1 Wind passage between Apartment  $\! I\!V\! \& V$ 



Fig.14-2 Northern elevation of Apartment IV & V  $\,$ 

### 2.4 More Details of Apartment



### 1) Major Architectural Solutions for Passive Environmental Control



Fig.16-1 Elevator Tower



Fig.16-2 "Sky Walk", leading to the entrances



Fig.16-3 Typical plan & section of Apartment  ${\bf V}$ 





Fig.16-4 "Void" for day-lighting & natural ventilation







Fig.17 Details of "Niche Garden" at the bottom of "Void"





### 3) Sectional Details









### 4) Rooftop Greening



Fig.22 North side of ApartmentIV, characterized by grass rooftop and the "Sky Walk"

Rooftop greening was one of the most outstanding characters of this project. Major objectives were to contribute to regional green networking and to improve the urban thermal condition.



Fig,23 Thermal effect of "Rooftop Greening" (Aug.1998)

### 5) Natural Drafting & Ventilation

Passive design methods to improve the indoor environment require the residents' understanding of the idea and their willingness to apply their lifestyle, enjoying the natural circumstances.

Our post occupancy investigation (see P33) shows that 40% of the panelists first open the windows when it is hot in summer, before using any other equipment such as air-conditioner. This reveals that the buffer zones between indoor and outdoor help reduce thermal impacts, and that the natural drafting and ventilation in housing units are quite successful.







Fig.24-2 Living & dining towards balcony



### 2.5 Environmentally Symbiotic Building Elements

As a model project of the Symbiotic Housing Complex, a series of techniques and building elements have been applied to meet the major goals of sustainable building, providing better quality of life, and at the same time reducing the environmental impact as much as possible. Within the limited cost framework of public housing, the following elements and items have been carefully selected among others:

### 1) Energy Saving

- -Adequate thermal insulation of the buildings
- -Effective daylight control by eaves, pergolas, greenery etc.
- -Selection of energy saving equipment systems and appliances

### 2) More Effective Use of Natural Resources

- -Solar collector(57.42 m<sup>2</sup>) for floor heating(100 m<sup>2</sup>) and warm water supply within the Day Home Service Center (Warm water tank capacity: 1.5m<sup>3</sup>) <Fig.25-7>
- -Solar cells for garden foot lighting (5sets), street lighting (5sets) and a street clock <Fig.25-8, 25-9>
- -Wind power generators  $(1.5 \text{kw} \times 2)$  for circulation of water in brook.<Fig.25-7>
- -Groundwater use through the 4 preserved wells within the complex <Fig.25-3>.
- -Rainwater reservoir (60m<sup>3</sup>) in the building foundation for toilet flushing (Day Home Service Center), and Rainwater tanks(100l) in each balcony for watering the green.
- bervice center, and raniwater tanks (100) in each ballony for watering the gr
- -Water saving equipment (toilets) and faucet
- -Least soil removal from the site and reuse of the existing cover soil for gardening
- -Metal mesh forming for foundation work, to reduce the amount of plywood forming
- -Supportive system for sorting and storing wastes and garbage

### 3) Compatibility and Harmony with the local Environment

- -Permeable pavement for all the streets and parking lots in the complex <Fig.25-6>
- -Preservation of 17 tall trees and replacement of 160 trees <Fig.25-1>
- -Greening all the flat rooftops and the walls facing west <Fig.22, 25-2, 25-4, 25-5>
- -Preservation of grass banks from ecological and town-scaping viewpoints
- -Creation of the Biotope Garden at the heart of complex <Fig.10, 11>
- -Providing intermediate rich buffer spaces between indoor and outdoor <Fig.16, 21>

### 4) Health and Amenity

- -A variety of the basic passive design of housing for residents' health
- -Symbolic reuse of timbers and roof tiles of demolished houses for memory <Fig.3>
- -Thorough consideration for the elderly and the disabled <Fig.25-6>
- -Selection of eco-materials and improvement of Indoor Air Quality
- -Semi-public facilities for supporting the community activities



Fig.25-1 Preserved trees



Fig.25-2 Rooftop garden



Fig.25-3 Preserved well



Fig.25-4 Wall greening



Fig.25-7 Solar collector & W.G.



Fig.25-5 Priv. rooftop garden



Fig.25-8 Solar-powered clock



Fig.25-6 Universal design



Fig.25-9 Solar-powered footlight

### 2.6 Post Occupancy Operation and Administration

Assigned by the Ward, at the end of the Design Process, we have also elaborated operational scheme for post occupancy, examining possible forms of organization and regulations, as well as the share of expenses for the maintenance. The final scheme was made on the basis of residents' participation into major maintenance activities. The following chart illustrates a comprehensive structure for the maintenance and administration of Fukasawa Symbiotic Housing Complex, associated with the goals and methods to be shared by the stakeholders including the Ward, the residents, visiting users of the public facilities and volunteer supporters. Based upon such preoccupations, a close-knit community has been developed in between, and the very high level of maintenance shows the visitors an outstanding willingness of the residents' autonomous activities re-creating an environmentally symbiotic atmosphere.

Fig.26 Comprehensive image of the roles for maintenance and administration of the housing complex, to be shared by the stakeholders



# 3. POST-DESIGN

### 3.1 Post Occupancy Investigations

As a governmental pilot project, the Fukasawa complex has attached attention and debate nationally. Its successes, problems, and development over time will help to share the future of sustainable public housing in Japan.

We, as collaborative architects, continue to give efforts in elaborating the post occupancy evaluations, both scientific and sociological, in order to discover and improve problems between design and the reality. This is an essential way how we learn a lot more about housing design, and improve our knowledge and skills for the next.

### 3.2 Thermal Conditions (Aug.1998)



Fig.27-1 Sun control effect of eaves and pergola above the balcony





1998.8.6 /10:00, 30.6°C, 240W/m<sup>2</sup>



\* 450 425 400 375 350 325 300 225 250

 $1998.8.6/13:00, 31.5^{\circ}C, 430W/m^{2}$ 

Fig.27-2 Thermal effect of rooftop greenery (Surface temperature of the grass rooftop turned out to be  $7^{\circ}$ C lower than that of roof tiles at sunny mid-summer daytime.

These post-occupancy investigations was made in cooperation with Prof. Masanori Shukuya and his students, Musashi Institute of Technology.

0.100



### 3.3 Some results of the questionnaire investigation (Aug.1998, 38 answers)

3.4 Energy and resource consumption according to the type of household (as of Sep.1997~Aug.1998)



According to the investigation on energy and resource consumption, the family households living in the complex consume 30% less energy in average than the similar households in the central area of Tokyo. This tendency is much clearer in the energy consumption (gas+ electricity) for heating and cooling, which is  $1/2 \sim 1/9$ of the similar household in Tokyo.







### 3.5 Outdoor Thermal Conditions during a day in summer (Aug. 10<sup>th</sup>, 1998)

15:45ごろの空気温度と表面温度の分布(1998年8月10日)。日向の舗装面は最高55.7℃に達している が、ビオトープ・風光ボイド付近の空気は冷えている (At around 15:45)



18:20ごろの空気温度と表面温度の分布(1998年8月10日)。中庭全体の空気温度は、26~27℃ほど に落ちついてくる。上下図とも、図中の数字は表面温度、▼印の下の数字は地表面温度を表す(上下と も作図:荒嶽慎)(At around 18:25)

Intensive researches were made to evaluate the thermal effects of exterior design, including the permeable pavement, biotopes and flower fields. The above results show that the ground surface condition may contribute to improve the micro-climate around buildings, and that the design of buffer zones between interior and exterior is a key issue to create environmentally symbiotic housing.

# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header>

### 4.1 Architectural Summary

Name:	Setagaya-Ku Ful	kasawa Symbiotic Housing				
■Completion:	March 1997					
Address:	Fukasawa 17-4, Setagaya-Ku, Tokyo, Japan					
■Building Regul	ations:					
Exclusively re	esidential of low-r	ise /10m (partially medium-rise), Category	Ι			
Special heigh	t control, Category	y I (partially ${\ensuremath{\rm I\!I}}$ ) & off-site shadow control				
Fire protectio	n, Category I					
■Site Area:		$7,388.08 \text{ m}^2$				
■Total Floor Su	rface Areas:					
Ward-built ar	d owned housing:	$5,536.86 \text{ m}^2$				
Day Home for the elderly:		594.54				
Meeting hall:		69.07				
Total:		6,200.47 m <sup>2</sup>				
Housing Units	:					
Ward-owned housing (including 3 units for the disabled): for low-income						
Ward-owned housing for the elderly:			17			
Ward-owned	Ward-owned housing for middle-income residents					
Total			70 unit			
■Associated Fa	cilities:					
Day Home Se	rvice Center for th	ne Elderly				
Communal m	eeting hall					
Dublin and a						
Public open a	reas ( Children's H	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e	tc. )			
Public open a Parking lots	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e a 3 are for visitors to the public facilities,	tc.) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled )	reas ( Children's I ( 25lots, of which	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e a 3 are for visitors to the public facilities,	tc. ) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled )	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which edure	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e a 3 are for visitors to the public facilities,	tc.) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled) Project's Proc Preliminary H	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which edure Planning:	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e n 3 are for visitors to the public facilities, Dec.1992 ~ Mar. 1993	tc.) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled ) Project's Proc Preliminary I Preliminary I	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which edure Planning: Design:	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e n 3 are for visitors to the public facilities, Dec.1992 ~ Mar. 1993 Oct.1993 ~ Mar. 1994	tc.) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled ) Project's Proc Preliminary I Preliminary I Final Design:	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which <b>edure</b> Planning: Design:	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e n 3 are for visitors to the public facilities, Dec.1992 ~ Mar. 1993 Oct.1993 ~ Mar. 1994 Oct.1994 ~ Mar. 1995	tc.) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled ) Project's Proc Preliminary H Preliminary I Final Design: Construction:	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which e <b>dure</b> Planning: Design:	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e n 3 are for visitors to the public facilities, Dec.1992 ~ Mar. 1993 Oct.1993 ~ Mar. 1994 Oct.1994 ~ Mar. 1995 Sep.1995 ~ Mar. 1997	tc.) and 3 for			
<ul> <li>Public open a Parking lots disabled )</li> <li>Project's Proc Preliminary H Preliminary I Final Design: Construction:</li> <li>Total Construct</li> </ul>	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which edure Planning: Design: <b>tion Cost</b>	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e n 3 are for visitors to the public facilities, Dec.1992 ~ Mar. 1993 Oct.1993 ~ Mar. 1994 Oct.1994 ~ Mar. 1995 Sep.1995 ~ Mar. 1997	tc.) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled ) Project's Proc Preliminary I Final Design: Construction: Total Construct 2,023,000,000	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which edure Planning: Design: <b>tion Cost</b> Yen (1000Yen = 7	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e n 3 are for visitors to the public facilities, Dec.1992 ~ Mar. 1993 Oct.1993 ~ Mar. 1994 Oct.1994 ~ Mar. 1995 Sep.1995 ~ Mar. 1997 Y.O£, as of March 1995)	tc.) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled ) Project's Proc Preliminary I Final Design: Construction: Total Construct 2,023,000,000 Planning and I	reas ( Children's H ( 25lots, of which edure Planning: Design: tion Cost Yen (1000Yen = 7 Design:	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e n 3 are for visitors to the public facilities, Dec.1992 ~ Mar. 1993 Oct.1993 ~ Mar. 1994 Oct.1994 ~ Mar. 1995 Sep.1995 ~ Mar. 1997 Y.O£, as of March 1995)	tc.) and 3 for			
Public open a Parking lots disabled ) Project's Proc Preliminary I Preliminary I Final Design: Construction: Total Construct 2,023,000,000 Planning and I Setagaya Wan	reas ( Children's F ( 25lots, of which edure Planning: Design: tion Cost Yen (1000Yen = 7 Design: rd +	Playground, Biotope Garden, flower fields, e n 3 are for visitors to the public facilities, Dec.1992 ~ Mar. 1993 Oct.1993 ~ Mar. 1994 Oct.1994 ~ Mar. 1995 Sep.1995 ~ Mar. 1997 Y.O£, as of March 1995)	tc.) and 3 for			

### 4.2 Financial Aspects

All the post-war public housing in Japan has been built and totally financed by public sectors, such as the state government, prefecture and local administration, according to the public housing law. This project was no exception. The Setagaya Ward, as the project developer, received total amount of subsidy, ca 900,000,000 yen, from the Ministry of Construction and the Metropolitan Government of Tokyo. This subsidy included additional aids for being a model project of Symbiotic Housing and universal design for the elderly and disabled. The table below show the details of the whole construction cost.

Table 1 Construct	ion Cost and S	ubsidies	idies $\times$ 1,000Yen (=7.0 £, as of I			
		Zone I		Zone II		
		Public	Public	Public	Total	
		Facilities	Housing	Housing		
1.Earth Works					33,000	
2.Building construction	Duilding	779,000		<b>F</b> 4 4 000	1 000 000	
	Dunnings	208,000	571,000	544,000	1,323,000	
	Et	297,000		1 49 000	110.000	
	Equipment	150,000	147,000	142,000	440,000	
	0-1-1-1	1,076,000		<u> </u>	1 700 000	
	Subtotal	358,000	718,000	000,000	1,762,000	
3.Exterior construction	Gardening				161,000	
	Equipment	67,000				
	Subtotal				228,000	
4.Grand total		2,023,000				
5.Subsidies					ca 900,000	

1) "Public Facilities" include the Ward's day home service center and communal meeting hall 2) "Gardening" includes the construction of streets, stone walls, planting, pond and brook, and fire protection water reservoirs(40t+100t)

3) "Exterior Equipment" includes the construction of outdoor electrical equipment, such as solar collector, wind generators, solar-powered lightings etc.

4) Surplus expense for the environmentally symbiotic components and equipment, such as roof top green system, rainwater reservoirs, wind generators, solar panels, solar-powered lightings etc., amounted to ca 80,000,000Yen, which was 4% of the total construction cost.

5) Design fees: ①Preliminary Planning/13,000,000+②Preliminary Design/27,600,000 + ③Final Design/47,700,000+④Construction Supervising/45,000,000 = 133,300,000Yen

### 4.3 Acknowledgement

This project was commissioned by Setagaya Ward, and planned, designed and supervised on site by Ichiura Planning & Housing Consultants / IWAMURA Atelier Joint Venture. This paper has been elaborated and compiled, by the author, on the basis of knowledge given all through this design process, in cooperation with the residents, Setagaya Ward, Faculty of Environmental & Information Studies of Musashi Institute of Technology and many others. We would like to express our deep gratitude to all of them for the wonderful collaboration.

